

5

	A				C			
	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D
P <sub>0</sub>	4	1	0	1	6	1	0	2
P <sub>1</sub>	2	1	2	0	4	2	1	1
P <sub>2</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
P <sub>3</sub>	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	4

As we can see, the problem is that P<sub>2</sub> is "using" 2 instances of C when it can use a maximum of 1. In order to guarantee a request, the first thing that Banker's Algorithm does is to check if  $req_i \leq (C-A)_i$  and this is the same as checking  $req_i + A_i \leq C_i$ .

Imagine that P<sub>2</sub> is allocating 1 instance of C and then it requests (0,0,1,0) for example. That request is going to be added to A<sub>P<sub>2</sub></sub> and then the question is: Is this addition less or equal than C<sub>P<sub>2</sub></sub>?

Obviously not, because in this addition we have 2 instances of C while the maximum to be used is only 1. We can't continue because this condition is not true and this "process of request" is stopped.

6

Process	A	B	C	D	Q	V
	ABCD	ABCD	ABCD	ABCD		
0	1031	0021	0102			
1	8120	0102				
2	0231	3311				
3	2310	0411				
4	2011	6021				
5	0403	2103				

- 1) There are no processes with no resources allocated.
- 2)  $Q_i \leq V$

Process	V	M
	{0, 1, 0, 2}	{1}
P <sub>1</sub>	{4, 1, 0, 2} + {3, 1, 0, 2} = {7, 2, 0, 4}	{1}
P <sub>0</sub>	{3, 2, 2, 1} + {1, 0, 3, 1} = {4, 2, 5, 2}	{1, 0, 1}
P <sub>3</sub>	{4, 2, 5, 2} + {4, 0, 0, 3} = {8, 2, 5, 5}	{1, 0, 1}
P <sub>2</sub>	{1, 4, 5, 2} + {3, 0, 2, 1} = {4, 8, 7, 3}	{1, 0, 1}
P <sub>4</sub>	{1, 0, 7, 1} + {2, 0, 1, 0} = {3, 0, 8, 1}	{1, 0, 1}
P <sub>5</sub>	{0, 4, 0, 3} + {2, 0, 1, 1} = {2, 4, 1, 4}	{1, 0, 1}

NO DEADLOCK

- b) Safe sequence: {P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>0</sub>, P<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>} always process switch (A, B, C, D, E, F)

7

- 5 processes that use 6 resources

	A						Q = R					
	A	B	C	D	E	F	A	B	C	D	E	F
P <sub>0</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	0	1
P <sub>1</sub>	1	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	1
P <sub>2</sub>	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	3	2	3	2	5
P <sub>3</sub>	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1
P <sub>4</sub>	0	2	2	0	0	4	1	3	4	0	3	3

Resources					
A	B	C	D	E	F
3	6	7	4	5	8

V					
A	B	C	D	E	F
1	1	0	2	1	

- a) In order to know if there is a deadlock we use Banker's algorithm.

- b)  $Q_i < V$

Chosen	V	Finished
	{1, 1, 0, 2, 1}	{1}
P <sub>3</sub>	{1, 1, 0, 2, 1} + {0, 0, 0, 0, 0} = {1, 1, 0, 2, 1}	{1}
P <sub>1</sub>	{1, 1, 0, 2, 1} + {0, 0, 0, 0, 0} = {1, 1, 0, 2, 1}	{1}
P <sub>0</sub>	{1, 1, 0, 2, 1} + {1, 1, 0, 0, 0} = {2, 2, 0, 2, 1}	{1, 0, 1}

$Q_2 > Q_4 > V$   
so there is a DEADLOCK  
no safe sequence can be found

- c) There is a deadlock produced by P<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>4</sub> because  $Q_2 > V$  and  $Q_4 > V$ .

- d) In order to avoid the deadlock we add one to resources B and F so we have (3, 3, 5, 3, 4, 5) so now  $Q_2 \leq V$ , and when we perform  $V + A_2 = (3, 5, 5, 4, 5, 5)$  and P<sub>1</sub> is the process that completes the safe sequences  $Q_4 \leq V$  and  $V + A_4 = (3, 7, 7, 4, 5, 9)$  which is the same as the total value of resources + 1 in B and F